UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,680	12/06/2005	Serge Calamel	0512-1306	3014
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 04/15/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/559,680	CALAMEL, SERGE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 L     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-20, 23, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maumy et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,735,901) in view of Willi (US Pat. No. 5,549,696). Maumy et al. discloses the following of the claimed invention: an acetabular implant cup insert for a joint prosthesis, the insert comprising a metal shell or cup (1) and a lining (31) lining the inside space of said shell, a receptacle (please see Fig. 3b) for a prosthetic head being formed in the lining, said shell presenting on its inside space a stud (3) having a groove and a lip (on the threaded portion of element 3) for preventing said lining being extracted from the shell and means for preventing the lining turning in the shell. Maumy et al. also includes depressions (17a) and projections (17) for preventing the lining from turning in the shell.

Maumy et al. does not disclose the insert lining being composed of a polymeric material. Willi teaches a hip prosthesis having a metal outer shell and a polymeric insert lining in col. 2, lines 40-67 for the purpose of improving the wear characteristics of the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the insert lining of Maumy et al. to be made of a polymer in order to improve the wear characteristics of the device.

Page 3

Regarding claims 25 and 26, please note that these claims have method limitations in article claims. As these claims are directed to method steps that depend from an article claim, they have been considered in so far as the method further defines the structure of the article.

Regarding claim 30, please note that it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ"326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maumy et al. in view of Willi, further in view of Noble et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,002,580). Maumy et al., as modified by Willi, does not teach the use of ceramics as a liner along the insert because ceramics are biologically inert and serve well as a bearing surface. Noble et al. teaches a prosthetic device with ceramics as a liner in col. 9, lines 9-13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ceramics in the implant because they will not react badly in the patient's body while still providing a strong bearing surface.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,680 Page 4

Art Unit: 3774

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-

6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774